

EPAEPOJOEB

D-80298 München

+49 89 2399-0 523 656 epmu d X +49 69 2399-4485 Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

Generaldirektion 2

Directorate General 2

Direction Générale 2

Kirkham, Nicholas Andrew Graham Watt & Co LLP St Botolph's House 7-9 St Botolph's Fload Sevenoaks Kent TN19 SAJ ROYAUME-UNI

DATE MANUAL COMPUTER!

Telephone numbera:
Primary Examiner
(substantive examination

Formalities Officer / Assistant (Formalities and other matters) +49 89 2398-8599

+49 89 2399-0

STOP AMANUAL

Application No. 01 918 824.2 - 2123

Ref. nak: 15647

Date 14.06.2008

Applicant

Avocat Polymer Technologies, Inc.

Communication pursuant to Article 96(2) EPC

The examination of the above-identified application has revealed that it does not meet the requirements of the European Patent Convention for the reasons enclosed herewith. If the deficiencies indicated are not rectified the application may be refused pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

You are invited to file your observations and insofar as the deficiencies are such as to be rectifiable, to correct the indicated deficiencies within a period

of 2 months

from the notification of this communication, this period being computed in accordance with Rules 78(2) and (4) EPC.

One set of amendments to the description, claims and drawings is to be filed within the said period on separate sheets (Rule 36(1) EPC).

Failure to comply with this invitation in due time will result in the application being deemed to be withdrawn (Article 96(3) EPC).



Economou, D Primary Examiner for the Examining Division

Enclosure(s):

1 page/s reasons (Form 2906)



AVAILABLE COPY



Bescheld/Protokelf (Anlage)

Communication/Minutes (Annex)

Notification/Proces-verbal (Annexa)

Datum Date

14.06,2006

Blatt Sheet Feuille

1

Anmelde-Nr.:

Application No.: 01 918 824.2 Demende no:

The examination is being carried out on the following application documents:

Description, Pages

_ 1-31

as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-26

filed with telefax on

28.10,2005

- For the sake of clarity the subject-matter of claim 15 should be claimed separately and features (a), (b) and (c) should refer to claims which clearly define subjectmatter being in connection with said features.
- After removal of the above-mentioned objection the newly filed claims would 2). considered to be allowable. The applicant is therefore requested to bring the description into conformity with these claims; care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion including any statements of problem or advantages, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Article 123(2) EPC). To meet the requirements of Rule 27(1)(b) EPC, the documents D1-D5 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.